

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 29 2006

11 South Meridian Street  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-3535  
(317) 236-1313

Fax Number: (317) 231-7433

**BARNES & THORNBURG LLP**  
btlaw.comFAX COVER SHEET

	NAME	COMPANY NAME	TELECOPY NO.
TO:	Kaity V. Handal	USPTO	571-273-8300

FROM: Randy L. Campbell

DIRECT DIAL: 317-229-3106

E-MAIL: rcampbell@btlaw.com

DATE: November 29, 2006

TIME SENDING: \_\_\_\_\_

NUMBER OF PAGES (INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET): 2

If you have difficulty receiving this Fax, please call Barnes &amp; Thornburg at (Resource Center) 317-231-7495 and ask for \_\_\_\_\_

☒ Proposed Claim Amendment Re: 11/29/06 Discussion

Response once received: Please deliver immediately.

CLIENT# 9501  
MATTER# 70665☐ Original to follow by mail  
☒ Original will not follow by mail

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This message is for the exclusive use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and is confidential. If you are not the addressee or an employee or agent of the addressee responsible for delivering it to the addressee, please do not read, use, disclose, copy or distribute this message and do not take any action in reliance upon it. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (collect) to arrange for its return. We do not intend to waive any attorney-client or work product privilege by the transmission of this message.

Chicago    Elkhart    Fort Wayne    Grand Rapids    Indianapolis    South Bend    Washington, D.C.

**PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENT**

Serial No. 10/692,840

Docket No. 9501-70665

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****NOV 29 2006****REMARKS REGARDING U.S. PAT. APP. NO. 7,014,930 TO DANIEL ET AL. ("DANIEL")  
IN RESPONSE TO THE DISCUSSION WITH EXAMINER HANDAL ON NOVEMBER 29,  
2006**

Applicants set forth the following proposed amendment to claim 1 for the Examiner's consideration:

1. (Currently Amended) A method of operating a plasma fuel reformer having a first electrode and second electrode spaced apart from the first electrode, the method comprising the steps of:

generating a plasma arc between the first and second electrodes,

advancing a first air/fuel mixture having a first air-to-fuel ratio into the plasma arc,

determining if a soot purge of the plasma fuel reformer is to be performed and generating a purge-soot signal in response thereto, and

advancing a second air/fuel mixture having a second air-to-fuel ratio into the plasma arc in response to generation of the purge-soot signal, wherein the second air-to-fuel ratio is greater than the first air-to-fuel ratio.

Applicants believe this amendment to claim 1 distinguishes it from the teachings of Daniel. Specifically, Daniel is generally directed towards purging soot from emission abatement devices positioned downstream of a fuel reformer, while proposed amended claim 1 includes limitations directed towards purging soot from a plasma fuel reformer itself.

Respectfully submitted,

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana  
(317)229-3106

  
Randy L. Campbell, Jr.  
Attorney Reg. No. 57,223

INDS02 RCAMPBELL 851989v1